Preparation and Application of Fluorocarbon Polymer/ SiO₂ Hybrid Materials, Part 1: Preparation and Properties of Hybrid Materials

Jen-Taut Yeh,^{1,2} Chin-Lai Chen,¹ Kuo-Shien Huang³

¹Graduate School of Polymer Engineering, National Taiwan University of Technology, Taipei, Taiwan

²Department of Textile Engineering, Nanya Institute of Technology, Jung Li, Taiwan

³Department of Polymer Materials, Kun Shan University of Technology, Yung Kang, Tainan,

Taiwan 71016, Republic of China

Received 27 August 2004; accepted 17 August 2005 DOI 10.1002/app.25047 Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

ABSTRACT: For this study, we first prepared a fluorocarbon polymer and its hybrid materials. We found that fluorocarbon copolymers can produce hydrogen bonds with SiO₂ to form hybrid materials. We also used thermogravimetric analyzer and tested the thermostabilities of the four products, which were ranked as follows: fluorocarbon copolymer/SiO₂ hybrid material > fluorocarbon polymer/SiO₂ hybrid material > fluorocarbon copolymer > fluorocarbon polymer. In addition, we found that, due to the inorganic SiO₂ used, the number of pores and the specific surface areas of the hybrid materials both increased. © 2006 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. J Appl Polym Sci 103: 1140–1145, 2007

Key words: fluorocarbon polymer; hybrid material; thermostability

INTRODUCTION

Inorganic/organic hybrids are high-performance materials, and comprise a new domain of recent material research and development. Because ceramic organic materials and macromolecular inorganic materials have complimentary properties, the former having high strength and heat resistance, while the latter has great durability and excellent processing properties, many researchers are looking for ways to combine these two materials and create new kinds of materials.

The sol–gel process is currently a very common way to produce inorganic/organic hybrid materials, although it was formerly a technique to make glassware and ceramics at very low temperatures. The process begins by combining organic and inorganic metallic solutions. First, the compounds in solution go through hydrolysis, condensation, polymerization, and transformation into a gel. Numerous researchers have attempted to create various types of hybrid materials using this technique and have thus improved their mechanical,^{1–4} thermostability,^{5–7} and optometric properties.^{8–10}

Normally, because of the demands of processing and the properties of these agents, fabrics lessen in strength and etiolate after finishing, which damages the qualities of processed fabrics. The fluorocarbon

Journal of Applied Polymer Science, Vol. 103, 1140–1145 (2007) © 2006 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.



polymer that is used in oil and water repellent finishing is a prime example. Although hybrid materials that are produced by sol–gel processing can be spread over polymer materials,^{11–13} their application to fabric processing is uncommon.

This research study first produces four types of fluorine-carbon polymers and their hybrid materials by the sol–gel method, then inquires into the feasibility of their application to oil and water repellent finishing in the processing of cotton textiles.

EXPERIMENT

Materials

Perfluoroaylacrylkyl ethylate monomer (M-FA) (ZONYLTM, DuPont, Industrial Grade), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), vinyl trimethoxysilane (VTMS), 1dodecanethiol (DT), tetrahydrofuran, and methyl ethyl ketone (MEK) all were obtained from U.S. ACROS. Chloroform, methanol, 2,2-azo bisbutyronitrile (AIBN), and hydrochloric acid are all from the Japan Reagent Industry (Japan), the nonionic interfacial agent (NP-50) was provided by the Taiwan Centro Chino, and trifluoroacetic acid (research grade) was purchased from Panreac Sythesis (Spain). The CF₃COOD and CDCL₃ were of analytical grade and were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (CIL). In addition, over-the-counter fluorine oil and water repellent finishing agent (ASAHI GUARD AG-7600) were provided by the Taiwan Global Shine Trading (Taiwan).

Correspondence to: K. S. Huang (hks45421@ms42.hinet.net).

TABLE I Molecular Weight and Elemental Analysis of FAP and FACP								
	Molecular weight		Polydispersity	Elemental content (%)				
Polymer	M_w	M_n	M_w/M_n	С	Н			
FAP FACP	8570 9621	4653 5561	1.84 1.73	30.27 32.03	1.46 2.57			

Methods

Preparation of fluorocarbon copolymer

A mixture of 12 g M-FA, 6 g VTMS, 0.4 g AIBN, 0.1 g DT, 1 g nonionic surfactant agent, and 30 mL MEK was placed into a 500-mL reaction tank, filled with condensation tubes. The tank was filled with nitrogen, and allowed to react for 6 h at 70°C. When the reaction was complete, the above emulsion was mixed with methanol and MEK, refined several times, and dried. As a result, solid, white, crystalline fluorocarbon copolymers (FACP) were formed. The FA polymer (FAP) was formed similarly without the addition of VTMS.

Preparation of fluorocarbon copolymer/SiO $_2$ hybrid materials

Two grams each of FACP and FAP were added to trifluoroacetic acid and chloroform and stirred. Four grams of TEOS and 0.5 mL distilled water were added to the above solution and stirred at room temperature until a gel was formed. This gel was then placed into a hermetic oven to dry. This process formed the fluorocarbon hybrid material.

Analysis and measurements

The molecular weight of the fluorocarbon polymer (FAP) and its copolymer (FACP) were measured with a GPC (Bio-Rad FTS-3000Mx). The standard polymer used for calibration was polystyrene with tetrahydrofuran as the solvent. The elemental analysis of the polymer and copolymer were measured by Elementar vario EL III. A Bio-Rad Digilab FTS-40 Fourier spectrometer was used to examine special functional groups. A Jeol 5610 electron microscope was used to observe the surface of the processed fabrics. A DuPont model 2200 thermogravimetric analyzer was used to examine the thermostability of the copolymers from 30°C up to 600°C, with a velocity that increased 20°C/min. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy (Bruker Advance 40) was used to examine ¹³C, ²⁹Si, and ¹⁹F content of the copolymer and to record its spectrum. A Micromeritics ASAP 2010 B.E.T. analyzer was used to examine porosity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Molecular weight and elemental analysis of FAP and FACP

From Table I, the molecular weight of the polymer is seen to increase due to copolymerization with VTMS, but the polydispersity is reduced, indicating that the molecular weight of FACP is distributed more narrowly. Secondly, an elemental analysis of both FAP and FACP reveals a greater carbon and hydrogen content of FACP.

FTIR analysis of the copolymer and its hybrid materials

Figure 1 shows the FTIR of M-FA, VTMS, FAP, and FACP. Panel (a) displays an apparent CF group with absorption peaks at 1174 and 1196 cm⁻¹. There is also an absorption peak of C=O at 1735 cm⁻¹. Vinyl group has absorption peaks at 1636, 982, 969, 810 and 768 cm^{-1} . Panel (b) shows the FTIR of 1600, 969, and 810 cm⁻¹, as well as the absorption peaks of the vinyl group and the Si-O-C at 768 cm⁻¹ and 1078 cm⁻¹, respectively. The FTIR of FAP, seen in (c), shows that the absorption peak of the original vinyl group has already disappeared, but the absorption peaks of C=O and CF in the polymer still exist. The FTIR of FACP, seen in (d), has stronger absorption peak at 1082 and 1008 cm⁻¹. The absorption peak of the Si-O-C proves that FAP and VTMS are copolymerized to form FACP.¹⁴

Figure 2 shows the FTIR of SiO_2 and its hybrid materials. Panel (a) shows SiO_2 , which through hy-



Figure 1 FTIR of monomers and polymers: (a) M-FA, (b) VTMS, (c) FAP, and (d) FACP.

Journal of Applied Polymer Science DOI 10.1002/app

Figure 2 FTIR of SiO_2 and hybrid materials: (a) SiO_2 , (b) FAP/SiO₂, and (c) FACP/SiO₂. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www. interscience.wiley.com.]

drolysis and polycondensation with TEOS forms absorption peaks at 1040, 938, and 790 cm⁻¹, representing Si—O—Si, Si—OH, and Si—O—C, respectively. Panel (b), together with (c), shows the FTIR of FAP/SiO₂ and FACP/SiO₂, respectively, in addition to displaying the absorption peaks of the CF and the C=O functional groups. Comparison of Figures 1 and 2 shows that the FAP-CF absorption peak shifts from 1204 to 1193 cm⁻¹, and the FACP peak shifts from 1196 to 1185 cm⁻¹. We concluded that FAP and VTMS copolymerized to produce FACP. Previous reports have shown that in hybrid materials, inorganic SiO₂ can develop hydrogen bonds with CF or C=O groups.^{15,16}

NMR analysis of FACP or FAP and its hybrid materials

¹³C NMR analysis

The results from ¹³C NMR analysis can be used to examine the chemical shift of ¹³C in the fine structure of macromolecular compounds (e.g., cubic regularity, comonomeric sequences, and structural defects).¹⁷ Recently, macromolecules were shown to develop strength of a different conformation in their fine structure from displacement of local molecular chains.¹⁸ Figure 3 shows the ¹³C NMR spectrum of a copolymer and its hybrid materials. It also shows that in FAP, there is a C=O group at 178.11 ppm. Panel (b) shows the spectrum of the FAP/SiO₂ hybrid material with a C= O group at 178.59, proving that there was no chemical shift of the C=O group. Panel (c) shows the FACP spectrum with a C=O group at 177.86. Panel (d) shows the spectrum of the FACP/ SiO_2 hybrid material with a C=O group absorption peak at 178.18 ppm, proving that there is no apparent shift for this group. From many past reports, we can see that in a hybrid material, if hydrogen bonds form between two kinds of materials, there will be chemical shifts for certain groups in the ¹³C NMR spectrum, and these shifts will be toward the high frequency area.^{19,20} In summary, we see that no hydrogen bonds developed between the C=O group and SiO₂. Moreover, this observation was due to the fact that the fluorine atoms carry more negative charge than oxygen, so that there can be no hydrogen bonds formed between the C=O group and SiO₂. Therefore, we know from the FTIR and C NMR analyses of the FTIR and the C NMR hybrid materials that, the SiO₂ formed a hydrogen bond with the CF group.

¹⁹F NMR analysis

The ¹⁹F NMR analysis of FAP has a 3F (CF₃) absorption peak that ranges from -85.0 to -90.0 ppm, and from -30.1 to -135.1 ppm for 2F (CF₂). Moreover, the ¹⁹F NMR of FACP has a 3F (CF₃) absorption peak that ranges from -84.4 to -90.0 ppm and a 2F (CF₂) absorption peak that ranges from -129.9 to -134.7 ppm.

²⁹Si NMR analysis

Figure 4 shows the ²⁹Si NMR analysis of the copolymer and its hybrid materials. Line (a) shows FAP; it



Figure 3 The ¹³C NMR of the fluorocarbon copolymer and its hybrid material: (a) FAP, (b) FAP/SiO₂ hybrid material; (c) FACP, (d) FACP/SiO₂ hybrid material.





Figure 4 ²⁹Si NMR of the fluorocarbon copolymer and its hybrid material: (a) FAP, (b) FAP/SiO₂ hybrid material, (c) FACP, (d) FACP/SiO₂ hybrid material.

can be seen that there are no $-Si(OCH_3)_3$ groups present, so there is no atomic Si absorption peak. Line (b) shows the FAP/SiO₂ hybrid material spectrum; from -100.39 and -109.61 ppm is the SiO₂ absorption peak, created by the reaction of the copolymer and TEOS. Line (c) shows FACP; the $-Si(OCH_3)_3$ group in the copolymer contributes to an absorption peak at -43.96 ppm. Line (d) shows the FACP/SiO₂ hybrid material with absorption peaks of SiO₂, created from the reaction of the copolymer and TEOS. Moreover, the $-Si(OCH_3)_3$ absorption peak at -43.96 ppm of FACP has disappeared due to hydrolysis, resulting in a weak absorption peak at -71.86 ppm. In summary, we show that after polymerization, VTMS monomers polymerized with FA monomers, forming a FACP copolymer. In addition, either FAP or FACP can, through the sol-gel process, form a very solid bond with SiO₂ and TEOS after hydrolysis and polymerization.

Thermogravimetric analysis

Figure 5 and Table II show the TGA and thermal properties, respectively, of the copolymer and its hybrid materials. Results indicate that FAP has the lowest initiation decomposite temperature (IDT) and that FACP is higher. From this observation, we see that FACP has a better thermal resistance due to the presence of $-\text{Si}(\text{OCH}_3)_3$ groups. In addition, after comparison of FAP with hybrid materials in temperatures exceeding 100°C, the speed of decomposition of hybrid materials significantly drops, which is due to the fact that hybrid materials contain little water. Another reason for this decrease is that the hybrid material still contains Si(OH)₄, which has not undergone polymerization. Additionally, the thermal



Figure 5 TGA of fluorocarbon copolymer and its hybrid material: (A) FACP/SiO₂; (B) FAP/SiO₂; (C) FACP; (D) FAP.

Thermal Properties of FAP, FACP, and Its Hybrid Materials							
	Properties						
Materials	Initiation decomposite temperature (°C)	Decomposition speed (g/°C)	Remnant (%)ª				
FAP	304.3	-0.863	0.387				
FACP	307.9	-0.840	1.235				
FAP/SiO ₂	310.2	-0.492	12.391				
FACP/SiO ₂	347.8	-0.468	38.536				

TABLE II
Thermal Properties of FAP, FACP, and
Its Hybrid Materials

^a The remnant is what remains after the temperature reaches 500°C.

resistance of hybrid materials compares quite well to the polymer, primarily because of the SiO₂ within the net structure. Since the FACP/SiO₂ hybrid material has the Si(OCH3)3s net structure its thermal stability is the best.

Surface properties and porosity analysis

Figure 6 shows the SEM of the copolymer and its hybrid materials. From the SEM of FAP (a), we see that the surface is smooth, immaculate, and evenly distributed. In photo (b), which shows the surface of the FAP/SiO₂ hybrid material, we see that the particle size becomes significantly larger. This change is mainly due to the combination of FAP and SiO_{2} , which shortens the distance between particles and forms larger crystals. In (c), granular crystals are shown, which are significantly different from those of FAP because of a lack of VTMS participation in the polymerization process. In addition, when comparing with (d), which shows the surface of the FACP/SiO₂ hybrid material with FACP, the particles appear more densely packed and smaller. This observation is due to the fact that the VTMS itself was hydrolyzed and polymerized, and formed a structure similar to that of SiO₂. Moreover, the added TEOS produces SiO₂ after hydrolysis and polymerization, which will bond to FAP. Therefore, from these results, we see that if the copolymer contains a Si(OCH₃)₃ group or develops hydrogen bonds with SiO₂, the distance between particles will be reduced and crystals will be formed.

From Table III, the diameter of the FAP pores is reported as 26.85 nm, which is smaller than those of the hybrid material, and the FACP pores are too small to be measured. The diameter of the FACP/ SiO₂ pores is 83.07 nm. Since FAP and FACP are macromolecular polymers with no inorganic compounds mixed with them, the pore diameter is actually the distance between molecules in the macromolecular compound. The FACP pores are smaller than those of FAP, since FACP is a copolymer; VTMS molecules in the copolymer may shorten the distances between molecules, since they diffuse



Figure 6 SEM of the fluorocarbon copolymer and its hybrid material: (a) FAP; (b) FAP/SiO₂ hybrid material; (c) FACP; (d) FACP/SiO₂ hybrid material.

TABLE III Porosity of the Fluorocarbon Copolymer and Its Hybrid Materials								
	Properties							
Materials	BET specific surface area (m ² /g)	Specific volume (10^{-3}) (cm ³ /g)	Size (nm)					
FAP	2.175	1.460	26.85					
FAP/SiO ₂	23.877	100.359	168.13					
FACP	0.213	0.134	_ ^a					
FACP/SiO ₂	9.862	20.481	83.07					

^a Too small to be measured.

through the FAP molecules. Perhaps it may be due to the effect of hydrogen bonds, which reduce the distance between copolymer particles, forming small crystals and small pores.

The pure SiO₂ particles, which are heat-treated at 140° C, have larger pores, with a size of about 30 nm.²¹ Therefore, when they form a hybrid material with organic compounds, the distance between particles is reduced and larger crystals are formed, enlarging the pore diameter. The pore sizes of both FAP/SiO₂ and FACP/SiO₂ hybrid materials are not smaller than the TEOS polymer. The same tendency is displayed regarding the pore's surface area and volume. As shown from these results, the hybrid material created from this experiment has a nanoscale pore size.

CONCLUSIONS

In this research, we first prepared a fluorocarbon copolymer with SiO₂ nano-hybrid materials and discussed its properties. We further used different methods to process cotton fabrics with water and oil repellent finishes to determine its feasibility. The following are the experiment results:

1. After FTIR, NMR, and SEM analyses, we confirmed that the fluorocarbon copolymer develops hydrogen bonds with SiO₂. We produced fluorocarbon copolymer/SiO₂ hybrid materials.

- The thermostability of the four products were ranked as follows: fluorocarbon copolymer/ SiO₂ hybrid material > fluorocarbon polymer/ SiO hybrid material > fluorocarbon copolymer > fluorocarbon polymer.
- 3. With the addition of inorganic SiO₂, the pores and specific surface areas of hybrid materials are increased.

References

- Weng, W. H.; Hui, C.; Tsai, S. P.; Wu, J. C. J Appl Polym Sci 2003, 91, 532.
- Kojima, Y.; Matsuoka, T.; Takahashi, H.; Kurauchi, T. J Appl Polym Sci 1994, 51, 683.
- Sheu, T. S.; Ou, C. J.; Hon, L. Y.; Chen, D. Y. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2000, 24, 301.
- 4. Liu, Y. L.; Hsu, C. Y.; Wei, W. L.; Jeng, R. J. Polymer 2003, 44, 5159.
- 5. Liu, Y. L.; Chiu, Y. C.; Wu, C. S. J Appl Polym Sci 2003, 87, 404.
- 6. Yano, S. Polymer 1994, 35, 5565.
- 7. Zhu, Y.; Sun, D. X. J Appl Polym Sci 2004, 92, 2013.
- 8. Novak, B. M. Adv Mater 1993, 5, 422.
- 9. Goto, K. J Appl Phys 1998, 37, 2274.
- Kador, L.; Fischer, R.; Haarer, D.; Kasemann, R.; Brück, S.; Schmidt, H.; Dürr, H. Adv Mater 1993, 5, 270.
- Wang, B.; Wilkes, G. L. J Macromol Sci Pure Appl Chem 1994, 31, 249.
- Mizutani, R.; Oono, Y.; Matsuoka, J.; Nsu, H.; Kamiya, K. J Mater Sci 1994, 29, 5773.
- 13. Chalapathi, V. V.; Ramiah, K. V. Curr Sci 1968, 16, 453.
- 14. Changhai, Y. L.; Xuming, X. Binding, Beijing 2002, 23, 1.
- 15. Zhang, X.; Takegoshi, K.; Hickichi, K. Polymer 1992, 33, 712.
- Bovey, F. A. High-Resolution NMR of Macromolecules; Academic Press: New York, 1972.
- Qin, C.; Priesm, A. T. N.; Belifore, L. A. Polym Commun 1990, 31, 177.
- 18. Miyoshi, T.; Takeqoshi, K.; Hikichi, K. Polymer 1997, 38, 2315.
- Mayhias, L. J. Solid State NMR of Polymers; Plenum: New York, 1988.
- Lee, G. L. Organic Silicon Macromolecule Chemistry; Beijing Science Publisher: Beijing, China, 1998; p 150.
- 21. Iler, R. K. The Chemistry of Silica; Wiley: New York, 1979; p 541.